

Standard Grimoire Report  
OPNFV Project  
2017-Q2



July 27, 2017

This report would not exist without the effort of the people involved in the development of the Grimoire toolset.

(cc) 2017 Bitergia. Some rights reserved.  
This work licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0  
Unported License.  
To view a copy of full license, see  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0>,  
or write to Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford,  
California 94305, USA.

## Executive Summary

This report provides a quantitative analysis of the current and past situation of the OPNFV project. All the data presented in it is based on information retrieved from the software development repositories of the project. The analysis includes a summary of the general situation of the project, and specific analysis of some of its development processes (issue tracking, code review) and communication channels (mailing lists, IRC, AskBot). For comparison with the past, most of the data is shown on a quarterly basis.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Project overview</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Communication and support-related activities</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Mailing Lists . . . . .	7
2.2	Questions and Answers . . . . .	9
2.3	IRC . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>Details on OPNFV development community</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Details of the project . . . . .	14
3.2	Activity . . . . .	14
3.3	Community . . . . .	15
3.4	Process . . . . .	16
<b>A</b>	<b>Metrics Definitions</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Source code and data sources</b>	<b>22</b>

# Chapter 1

## Project overview

The report looks at activities across the OPNFV community during the first quarter of 2017, comparing it to previous quarters.<sup>1</sup>.


<b>Data source</b>	<b>Activity 90 days</b>	<b>Change (wrt to prev. 90 days)</b>
Gits	3094 commits	-8%
Tickets	399 open tickets	-28%
Mailing Lists	739 sent emails	-56%
Gerrit	1666 submitted reviews	-39%
Askbot	4 posted questions	-55%
IRC	41167 messages	-32%

Table 1.1: Activity during the last 90 days and its evolution

The overall development activity has decreased following the pattern of quarters with no release activity. Git and Gerrit activity has decreased between 8% and a 39%. This is also in line with the Jira activity with a decrease in the bug reporting of 28%. Mailing list activity has decreased 56% as well as inline with other communication channels such as IRC with a decrease of 32%. Askbot shows 55% of activity decrease. If compared to previous periods, this quarter shows a similar activity level than 2016-Q4 and 2016-Q2.

---

<sup>1</sup>The analyzed data sources are available in appendixB



In this quarter of 2017 the mean number of developers active per month has reached a total of 56. It is a decrease when compared to the previous quarter, and in line with the fourth quarter of 2016. This is the lowest peak of different developers participating per month in mean since 2015-Q3.

The total number of contributors divided into three sets (core, regular and casual<sup>2</sup>) follow a similar pattern.




Figure 1.1: Evolution during the last quarters of core, regular and casual developers (based on git activity)

<sup>2</sup>Contributing developers are characterized as core, regular and casual depending on their activity in the git repositories. The classification is built by sorting contributors by their total number of commits; we sum the total commits per each individual contributors: the individuals whose commits sum up to 80% of the total number of commits in the quarter are the core contributors in that quarter. The regular contributors are those whose commits sum up to 95% of the total. The others are the casual contributors.

<b>Period</b>	<b>Core</b>	<b>Regular</b>	<b>Occasional</b>
15-Q3	24	25	32
15-Q4	29	33	51
16-Q1	34	35	52
16-Q2	33	33	55
16-Q3	46	37	63
16-Q4	46	33	48
17-Q1	48	44	67
17-Q2	33	32	48

Table 1.2: Characterization of developers by their total contribution to the project

This report aims to provide some insight into the software development process of the OPNFV community measuring efficiency and process of the community based on three metrics: the Review Efficiency Index (REI), the Time to Merge (TTM), and the Backlog Management Index (BMI). REI is measured as the number of closed (merged or abandoned) changesets out of the submitted changesets in a given period. TTM is measured as the time since a review is submitted until this is closed. The BMI is measured as the number of closed tickets out of open tickets in a given period.

<b>REI</b>	<b>BMI</b>	<b>TTM</b>
0.89	0.04	1.12 days

Table 1.3: Closed changesets out of opened changesets (REI), closed ticket out of opened tickets (BMI) and median time to merge in Gerrit (TTM)


## Chapter 2

# Communication and support-related activities

Analysis of the communication channels used for communication and support-related activities.

### 2.1 Mailing Lists

The following charts show activity in terms of emails sent, people sending emails and people initiating threads per quarter. In addition, a table is presented with the hot topics in the several analyzed mailing lists. This shows hot topics ordered by number of total posts in such thread.




Period	Emails
15-Q3	1708
15-Q4	1803
16-Q1	2394
16-Q2	2269
16-Q3	2105
16-Q4	1759
17-Q1	1694
17-Q2	739



## 2.1. MAILING LISTS



Period	People
15-Q3	207
15-Q4	204
16-Q1	229
16-Q2	267
16-Q3	251
16-Q4	252
17-Q1	210
17-Q2	111




Period	People
15-Q3	117
15-Q4	124
16-Q1	133
16-Q2	157
16-Q3	159
16-Q4	169
17-Q1	149
17-Q2	71

Initial Author and Date	Subject	Number Messages
christopher.price 2017-05-01	[opnfv-tech-discuss] [dovetail] Standing down as a committer on DoveTail	20
Raymond Paik 2017-06-07	[opnfv-tech-discuss] Vote for the new Danube 3.0 release date	15
2017-07-27		14
David McBride 2017-05-18	[opnfv-project-leads] [release][euphrates] RESPONSE REQUIRED - Milestone 2 - test case documentation - May 22	12
Juraj Linkes -X jlinkes - PANTHEON TECHNOLO- GIES@Cisco 2017-04-18	[Fds-dev] Domino failures in apex ci	9
Juan Vidal 2017-05-24	[opnfv-tech-discuss] [SFC] Using openstack-ansible	9
Wojciech Dec 2017-05-29	[Fds-dev] Does VPP support vlan 0?	9
Georg Kunz 2017-06-02	[opnfv-tech-discuss] [dovetail] Doodle poll for Dove-tail - OpenStack Interop info sharing session	9
Georg Kunz 2017-05-18	[opnfv-tech-discuss] [dovetail] proposal: information sharing with OpenStack RefStack and Interop people	9
Yuyang Gabriel 2017-05-05	[opnfv-tech-discuss] On Stress Test Demo//RE: [test-wg] Notes from OPNFV Plugfest meeting - "Testing group Euphrates collaborative work"	9


## 2.2 Questions and Answers

The following charts show activity in the Ask site. Total number of questions, number of answers, number of comments and people sending questions are depicted. In addition two tables represent the hot topics activity in the Ask OPNFV site. These show information about the top visited questions and questions with the highest number of people participating.


## 2.2. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS




Period	Questions
15-Q3	23
15-Q4	36
16-Q1	30
16-Q2	45
16-Q3	24
16-Q4	25
17-Q1	9
17-Q2	4



Period	Answers
15-Q3	30
15-Q4	44
16-Q1	33
16-Q2	57
16-Q3	21
16-Q4	18
17-Q1	4
17-Q2	2



Period	Comments
15-Q3	18
15-Q4	22
16-Q1	21
16-Q2	41
16-Q3	6
16-Q4	2
17-Q1	1
17-Q2	1



Period	People asking
15-Q3	14
15-Q4	14
16-Q1	20
16-Q2	23
16-Q3	19
16-Q4	14
17-Q1	9
17-Q2	4

- Top visited questions.


Question subject	Visits
not able to attach the volume+	58
apextripleo danube 20 undercloud install fail- ure+	22
howto install danube on single ubuntu+	13
what are the best examples of+	7

- Top questions with the highest number of people participating.


Question subject	People partici- pating
what are the best examples of+	2
apextripleo danube 20 undercloud install fail- ure+	1
howto install danube on single ubuntu+	1
not able to attach the volume+	1

## 2.3 IRC

The community uses several IRC channels for asynchronous communication. This section shows information about the total number of messages sent in the community during the last 7 quarters together with the number of people participating in such discussions.



Period	Messages
15-Q3	20636
15-Q4	18963
16-Q1	49851
16-Q2	54879
16-Q3	62522
16-Q4	44449
17-Q1	60511
17-Q2	41167



Period	People
15-Q3	263
15-Q4	293
16-Q1	461
16-Q2	544
16-Q3	534
16-Q4	486
17-Q1	543
17-Q2	550

## Chapter 3

# Details on OPNFV development community


Each breakdown is divided into three sections with information from the last 6 quarters:

- activity: centered on the following metrics: commits from git activity, submitted, merge and abandoned reviews from the review system and opened and closed tickets from the issue tracking system.
- community: active core reviewers in gerrit, active authors in git and top ten developers and top ten organizations contributing to the development in the last quarter.
- process: efficiency closing tickets, efficiency closing changesets, Time to Merge (mean and median), number of patchsets (iterations) per changeset and a study on the time waiting for a reviewer or submitter action in the patchset review process.


### 3.1 Details of the project

### 3.2 Activity


Commits in Git, submitted, merged and abandoned reviews in Gerrit and opened and closed issues in Jira.



Period	Commits	Reviews
15-Q3	791	905
15-Q4	1373	1574
16-Q1	2873	3099
16-Q2	1796	2023
16-Q3	3129	2902
16-Q4	1786	1824
17-Q1	3368	2769
17-Q2	3094	1666




Period	Closed	Opened
15-Q3	384	1108
15-Q4	564	651
16-Q1	606	603
16-Q2	717	878
16-Q3	1421	862
16-Q4	434	673
17-Q1	900	561
17-Q2	16	399



Period	Merged	Abandoned
15-Q3	711	103
15-Q4	1220	188
16-Q1	2673	330
16-Q2	1599	314
16-Q3	2583	183
16-Q4	1450	182
17-Q1	2383	206
17-Q2	1366	125


### 3.3 Community

Active core reviewers in Gerrit, active authors in Git, top authors and organizations in the last quarter



Period	Active Core
15-Q3	59
15-Q4	68
16-Q1	73
16-Q2	85
16-Q3	86
16-Q4	80
17-Q1	81
17-Q2	75





Period	Authors
15-Q3	83
15-Q4	116
16-Q1	124
16-Q2	125
16-Q3	151
16-Q4	132
17-Q1	160
17-Q2	113


Commit (s)	Author
278	Yujun Zhang
251	cedric.ollivier
225	Tim Rozet
218	Jose Lausuch
137	Jing Lu
125	Steven Pisarski
119	Fatih Degirmenci
117	matthew.lijun
99	Serena Feng
96	zhihui wu

Commit (s)	Organizations
704	Huawei
640	ZTE Corporation
468	Ericsson
457	Orange
371	Red Hat
310	Intel
114	Linux Foundation
97	ENEA AB
65	Dell
65	SUSE
63	EMC
48	Cisco
46	Mirantis
17	Nokia
10	ATT
6	Fraunhofer FOKUS
6	NEC
6	Tata Consultancy
3	MontaVista
2	VMWare


### 3.4 Process

Efficiency closing changesets and tickets, time to review (mean and median), number of patchsets (iterations) per changeset and study on the time waiting


for a reviewer or submitter action in the patchset review process.




Period	Closed/Opened
15-Q3	0.35
15-Q4	0.87
16-Q1	1.0
16-Q2	0.82
16-Q3	1.65
16-Q4	0.64
17-Q1	1.6
17-Q2	0.04




Period	(Aband. and Merg.)/Subm.
15-Q3	0.9
15-Q4	0.89
16-Q1	0.97
16-Q2	0.95
16-Q3	0.95
16-Q4	0.89
17-Q1	0.93
17-Q2	0.89




Period	Median	Mean
15-Q3	0.27	6.84
15-Q4	0.59	4.05
16-Q1	0.18	3.55
16-Q2	0.34	3.99
16-Q3	0.53	3.43
16-Q4	0.93	3.91
17-Q1	0.75	4.71
17-Q2	1.12	5.58



Period	Median	Mean
15-Q3	1.0	2.11
15-Q4	1.0	2.31
16-Q1	1.0	1.93
16-Q2	1.0	1.92
16-Q3	1.0	2.12
16-Q4	2.0	2.62
17-Q1	1.0	2.55
17-Q2	1.0	2.73



Period	Median	Mean
15-Q3	0.95	13.11
15-Q4	1.1	13.49
16-Q1	0.05	12.08
16-Q2	0.67	18.79
16-Q3	0.3	9.79
16-Q4	1.36	12.7
17-Q1	0.67	9.29
17-Q2	1.23	33.67



Period	Median	Mean
15-Q3	0.92	15.69
15-Q4	7.03	29.02
16-Q1	1.42	31.82
16-Q2	0.97	23.3
16-Q3	0.73	18.89
16-Q4	1.5	16.46
17-Q1	0.98	14.45
17-Q2	1.37	45.54

# Appendix A

## Metrics Definitions

- Commit: this is defined as the action(s) that performs a change in the source code. Bots, merges and other type of automatic activity is removed from the records. In addition, when aggregating several git repositories, this metric only counts unique revisions (unique hashes found in the git repositories). In addition, all branches are aggregated to the analysis.
- Submitted changeset: a changeset is the process of peer reviewing source code changes. A submitted code is not merged to the master code of a given project till this is approved for at least one core reviewer of such project. A submitted changeset is defined as any changeset submitted to the Gerrit system.
- Merged and abandoned changsets: a merge is defined as the patchset that was finally submitted to the source code. An abandoned changeset is a potential merge that was finally dismissed by developers as being part of the source code. This status is found in the status of the final patchset. However, although a patchset can be merged or abandoned, this action can be reverted. If a patchset presents several of these changes in the same period of time, only one of them is counted (the very last one). On the other hand, if those changes take place in different periods of analysis, both status would be counted.
- Open and closed ticket: a ticket in Jira is counted as closed if the status of such ticket is defined as 'Closed'. The rest of the tickets are counted as opened tickets.
- Active Core Reviewer: a core reviewer has the possibility to use +2 or

-2 actions when reviewing the code. However, if there are developers that for some period do not use those actions, those can not be measured as core reviewer. Thus, this metric provides information about 'active' core reviewers. This can be also defined as those developers that actively have used the +2 or -2 review action. This metric is also filtered by branch of activity, only using 'master'. This helps to detect actual core reviewers in each of the projects.

- Authors: a developer is defined as author if she is the owner of the patchset sent for reviewing and this is merged into the source code. As previously indicated, automatic commits such bot's are removed from this analysis.
- Efficiency closing issues: this metric is a derivation of the Backlog Management Index (BMI) that measures the number of closed tickets out of the opened tickets in a period of time. Values under 1.0 indicates that the number of closing issues is lower than the number of opened issues arriving. On the contrary, higher charts would indicate better maintenance effort by the community.
- Efficiency closing changesets: this metric is a derivation of the Backlog Management Index as it is named as Review efficiency index (REI). As similarly used in the BMI index, this metrics measures the number of closed changesets (merged or abandoned) out of the total number of new changesets.
- Time to Merge: this time consists of the time between the first upload of the first patchset (as defined as a submitted changeset) till the last patchset of the changeset is merged into the code and this is indicated in the comments side of the Gerrit tool. This metric is provided in number of days.
- Patchsets per changeset: this metric calculates the total number of iterations in a changeset till this is abandoned or merged.
- Time waiting for the reviewer or the submitter: a changeset is waiting for a reviewer action if a new patchset upload or a new changset arrives to the system. On the other hand, a submitter action is required when a specific negative verification or reviewing action takes place (Verified -1/-2 or Code-Review -1/-2). In addition, when a Code-Review +2 action takes place, it is assumed that the changset is closing and no

more times are registered either for the reviewer or the submitter. For this analysis, those patchsets flagged as work in progress are ignored.

Metrics measured in the general overview:

- Community structure, core, regular and casual developers: developers are ordered in descendant order by the number of commits authored for a given period. Core developers are defined as the list of developers that reach 80% of the total commits. Regular is the set of developers that are between that 80% and 95% of the commits. Casual developers are found in the rest of the 5%. Bots are ignored in this list of developers.
- Developer per month: average of developers per month ignoring bots.
- Emails sent: number of emails sent by people to the several mailing lists. Bots are not registered.
- People sending emails: number of people sending those emails ignoring bots.
- People initiating threads: a thread is defined as a list of emails that has the same root. There may exist threads of one email.
- Top threads: this list provides the longest threads in terms of number of emails that have a common root email.
- Questions, answers and comments in Askbot.
- People asking questions in Askbot: number of people sending a new question.
- Top visited questions.
- Messages and people in IRC: this analysis ignores as a message those entries in the IRC channels that provide information about people entering or leaving the system.

## Appendix B

# Source code and data sources

The source code of the scripts and templates used to produce this report are available from the GrimoireReports repository<sup>1</sup>.

The databases used for the analysis can be obtained from the “Data sources” panel<sup>2</sup> of the Grimoire Dashboard for the project<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/VizGrimoire/GrimoireReports>

<sup>2</sup>[http://projects.bitergia.com/opnfv/browser/data\\_sources.html](http://projects.bitergia.com/opnfv/browser/data_sources.html)

<sup>3</sup><http://projects.bitergia.com/opnfv/>