

Standard Grimoire Report  
OPNFV Project  
19-Q2



July 3, 2019

This report would not exist without the effort of the people involved in the development of the Grimoire toolset.

(cc) 2019 Bitergia. Some rights reserved.  
This work licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0  
Unported License.  
To view a copy of full license, see  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0>,  
or write to Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford,  
California 94305, USA.

## Executive Summary

This report provides a quantitative analysis of the current and past situation of the OPNFV project. All the data presented in it is based on information retrieved from the software development repositories of the project. The analysis includes a summary of the general situation of the project, and specific analysis of some of its development processes and communication channels. Data from previous periods is also shown for comparison.

## **Contents**

<b>1 Project overview</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2 Activity</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3 Community</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4 Process</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>A Metrics Definitions</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Project overview

The report looks at activities across the OPNFV community during 19-Q2 (2019-03-31 to 2019-06-30), comparing it to previous period of analysis.

Data source	Activity last quarter	Change (wrt to prev. quarter)
git	879 Commits	-28%
jira_issues	69 Closed tickets	-2%
jira_issues	117 Opened tickets	0%
gerrit	708 Closed reviews	-32%
gerrit	799 Submitted reviews	-29%
mailing lists	354 Sent Emails	-52%

Table 1: Activity during the last period of analysis and its evolution

Table 1 shows development activity for each of the analyzed data sources. The activity column displays information about the net activity numbers, while the Change column displays information about the relative difference with respect to the previous period of analysis.

Gerrit data offers an interesting view on how software development process of OPNFV community is working from the point of view of efficiency and process. To analyze this, some metrics based on Gerrit changesets and reviews are presented below. In terms of Gerrit terminology, these metrics are calculated as follows:

- Review Efficiency Index (REI), measured as the number of closed changesets out of the new ones in a given period.
- Time to Merge (TTM), measured as the time since a review is submitted until this is closed.

REI	TTM
0.88	0.72 days

Table 2: Closed out of opened changesets (REI) and median time to merge (TTM)

The rest of the document is divided into three sections with information from the last periods:


- Activity: focused on contributions.

- Community: focused on contributors.
- Process: focused on efficiency and timing.

## 2 Activity


This section covers contributions in the different data sources.

The bar chart below shows the evolution of the number of commits and authors in Git through time, grouped by quarters.



Period	Commits	Authors
17-Q3	6712	255
17-Q4	5250	183
18-Q1	4729	149
18-Q2	4951	132
18-Q3	3677	109
18-Q4	2473	97
19-Q1	1127	62
19-Q2	879	43

Following chart shows activity in Gerrit data source. It is based on comparing the number of opened and closed reviews grouped by quarters.




Period	Opened	Closed
17-Q3	3659	3303
17-Q4	3155	2894
18-Q1	2673	2452
18-Q2	2533	2284
18-Q3	2079	1841
18-Q4	1757	1578
19-Q1	1036	939
19-Q2	799	708

## 3 Community

This section tries to help us to understand the evolution of OPNFV community by looking at active contributors and organizations in the last period of analysis, compared to previous ones.

Number of active authors in Git is shown below, giving us a quick look of contributors evolution in the last quarter compared to previous ones.



Period	Active Authors
17-Q3	255
17-Q4	183
18-Q1	149
18-Q2	132
18-Q3	109
18-Q4	97
19-Q1	62
19-Q2	43

In addition, table below offers a quick glance to the most active authors in Git in the whole period of time shown in the bar chart above.

Author	Commit (s)
Cedric Ollivier	392
Trevor Bramwell	75
Alexandru Avadanii	67
Aric Gardner	57
Michael Polenchuk	56
Dan Xu	31
Sawyer Bergeron	24
Tim Rozet	20
Parker Berberian	19
Sofia Wallin	14
rexlee8776	12
Alec Hothan	11
Juha Kosonen	8
Stephen Wong	8
Xavier Simonart	8
Mark Beierl	6
Qiaowei Ren	6
Yang (Gabriel) Yu	6
m00133142	6
Bin Hu	5

In a similar way, table below shows the same information groped by organization instead of author.


<b>Organization</b>	<b>Commit (s)</b>
Orange	397
Linux Foundation	132
ENEA AB	71
Huawei	63
Mirantis	56
Unknown	50
Intel	35
Red Hat	21
Ericsson	17
Cisco	14
Nokia	12
Dell	6
AT&T	5
ZTE Corporation	3
ARM	1
China Mobile	1
HCL	1

## 4 Process

This section intends to show the evolution of efficiency and timing when dealing with tasks related with code review processes.


For Gerrit data source, we use REI, that was defined in section 1, to measure the efficiency in code review process. Chart below shows evolution of REI by quarters in order to visualize how changesets are being managed by the community.





Period	Closed/Subm.
17-Q3	0.90
17-Q4	0.92
18-Q1	0.92
18-Q2	0.90
18-Q3	0.89
18-Q4	0.90
19-Q1	0.91
19-Q2	0.89

In terms of time and again for Gerrit, chart below shows the evolution of mean and median times—in days—to close a review (TTM, defined in section 1).



Period	Median	Mean
17-Q3	0.71	5.13
17-Q4	0.43	5.83
18-Q1	1.11	10.61
18-Q2	0.86	6.70
18-Q3	0.56	8.72
18-Q4	0.71	8.83
19-Q1	0.70	11.18
19-Q2	0.71	8.21

## A Metrics Definitions

- **Commit:** this is defined as the action(s) that performs a change in the source code. Bots, merges and other type of automatic activity is removed from the records. In addition, when aggregating several git repositories, this metric only counts unique revisions (unique hashes found in the git repositories). In addition, all branches are aggregated to the analysis.
- **Submitted changesets:** a code review is the process of peer reviewing source code changes. A submitted code is not merged to the master code of a given project till this is approved. A submitted code review is defined as any changeset submitted to the Gerrit system.
- **Authors:** a developer is defined as author if she is the owner of the patchset sent for reviewing and this is merged into the source code.

As previously indicated, automatic commits such bot's are removed from this analysis.

- Efficiency closing changesets: this metric is a derivation of the Backlog Management Index as it is named as Review efficiency index (REI). As similarly used in the BMI index, this metrics measures the number of closed changesets out of the total number of new changesets in a given period.
- Time to Merge: this time consists of the time between the first upload of the first changeset till the last iteration of the code review process is merged into the code. This metric is provided in number of days.
- Developer per period: average of developers per period ignoring bots and merges.
- Emails sent: number of emails sent by people to the several mailing lists. Bots are not registered.
- People sending emails: number of people sending those emails ignoring bots.