OPNFV EUAG Minutes: Jan 18ᵗʰ, 2017
Attendees: Cecilia Corbi (Telcom Italia), Steven Wright (AT&T), Randy Levensalor (CableLabs), Gerald Kunzmann (DOCOMO), Kodi Atuchukwu (Vodafone), Guy Meador (Cox), Morgan Richomme (Orange), Milind Bhagwat (BT), Masanori Miyazawa (KDDI Labs), Carlos Matos (Fidelity), Bryan Sullivan (AT&T), Johan Gustawsson (Telia), Junjie Tong (China Unicom), Diego Lopez (Telefonica).
Joining from The Linux Foundation: Brandon Wick, Ray Paik

Agenda:
- Antitrust
- Minutes Approval
- EUAG Roster
- Open Networking Summit Update
- EUAG Survey Results Review
- E-Release Inputs Timeframe
- Topic Suggestions for February
- Meetings Calendar
- AOB

Minutes:
- Meeting minutes from the last meeting were approved.
- EUAG Roster: Attendees welcomed Fu Qiao from China Mobile as a new member.
- Open Networking Summit Review: Heather was not able to join the call and will send an update to EUAG members via the mailing list. The EUAG will hold a F2F at ONS (final logistics TBD).
- EUAG Survey Results Review:
  - Brandon went through the results of the EUAG Survey to date. As of Jan 17ᵗʰ, there have been 17 responses. The deadline for participation will be extended to 2/1/17 for those who have not yet responded.
  - On the question for which OPNFV installer EUAG members are using, Bryan Sullivan suggested that for next time, we further clarify the usage between "lab use" and "potential production use."
  - On the question asking if there were too many OPNFV installers, Bryan suggested adding a comments box for users could indicate why they thought there were too many (if they did) and Kodi recommended an "other" option.
  - For the question around the OPNFV projects slated for Danube and their usefulness to each network operator, Bryan commented that this was a difficult question to answer and that the not familiar answer could be conflated with no opinion. Also, the Doctor project did not get added to the list of options until much later, apologies to the Doctor team. Morgan commented that it is difficult, but also is a good initial indicator. Overall, we should find an easier way to represent OPNFV activities, projects, and features for people not familiar with OPNFV. Steven commented that while we should further refine the survey, we should be careful not to rush to judgment as low scores could really mean a lack of familiarity.
  - In terms of the EUAG Pain Points, Bryan commented that perhaps there were too many pain points listed. Steven agreed that several questions had long lists which are hard to get good answers to. We should consider how to better aggregate data in the future.
  - Ray asked about sharing this data with the TSC.
  - The survey will remain up for another 2 weeks to allow for any late responses. Once closed and data re-analyzed, Steven commented that that data could be presented to the TSC & Board. An ideal format would likely be a subset of questions and slides. Steven recommended coming with a sub-set of slides to be reviewed on the next EUAG call before being shared outside the group.
  - Kodi commented that this provides valuable data and asked if the results would be made anonymous. Individual respondents and answers will *NOT* be called out in the external presentation and members are asked not to share this data outside the group until results can be interpreted and the group can agree on what to share.
  - Gerald mentioned that the Prediction project was slated to be archived and wondered based on the results here, if steps should taken to revive it. Bryan and Ray noted there are many reasons to archive a project including project health, resourcing, etc. This still represents valuable feedback to the TSC. If any EUAG members have additional opinions on what project questions should be taken the TSC, they are asked to email Steven and Brandon.

- E-Release Inputs Timeframe
    - Brandon pointed out that March 27, is the draft deadline for project to indicate their interest in participating in E-Release and should also be considered the deadline to take feedback to the TSC. This will be discussed further in the February and March meetings.
    - Morgan pointed out that an "E-Release Priorities" document is under development with the TSC and that EUAG feedback could be captured on this page and taken into account (e.g. main topics to be addressed). This will be presented on a future call.
- Other Topic Suggestions for February:
    - Metrics around project health
    - Asking telcos why they didn't answer the survey. Diego stated that in the case of Telefonica, they are not familiar enough with OPNFV to provide valuable feedback. Kodi commented that understanding the scenarios section was difficult.
    - Steven will share the link again and ask EUAG to participate.
- The meeting schedule for 1H2017 was shared. The schedule currently includes two F2F meeting opportunities: one in April at the Open Networking Summit, and one in June at the OPNFV Summit in Beijing.
- Brandon asked how long folks were spending with the survey. Most respondents spent about 20 minutes.
- Steven closed the call.