



Min Yu <myu@linuxfoundation.org>

Meeting Minutes from the OPNFV End User Advisory Group call (February 15, 2017)

1 message

Min Yu <myu@linuxfoundation.org>

Tue, Feb 21, 2017 at 8:46 AM

To: opnfv-enduser-advisory-group@lists.opnfv.org

Attendees: Andrea Pinnola (Telcom Italia), Bryan Sullivan (AT&T), Cecilia Corbi (Telcom Italia), Don Clarke (CableLabs), Gerald Kunzmann (DOCOMO Labs), Guy Meador (Cox), Johan Gustawsson (Telia), Karim Rabie (STC advisor), Kenichi Ogaki (KDDI Corp.), Klaus Martiny (Deutsche Telecom), Michael Bugenhagen (CenturyLink), Qiao Fu (China Mobile), Steven Wright (AT&T), Tetsuya Nakamura (CableLabs)

Joining from The Linux Foundation: Brandon Wick, Ray Paik, Min Yu

Guest: Amar Kapadia (Aarna Networks)

Agenda:

- Antitrust
- Minutes Approval
- EUAG Roster
- EUAG Survey Results
- Communicating EUAG Results
- Release Community Priorities: Danube + E-Release
- EUAG Feedback to Technical Community
- Meeting Calendar
- AOB

Minutes:

- Meeting minutes from the last meeting were approved.
- Roster: Attendees welcomed Michael Bugenhagen from CenturyLink as a new member.
- EUAG Survey Results Summary Review
 - Survey response timeline was extended to February 1. In total, 19 responses were received. The raw results, including two additional responses that were received after EUAG's January meeting, were consolidated into the summary slides being presented today. Steven noted that once the summary is reviewed by EUAG he will present it to the OPNFV Board and TSC.
 - Slide 1: Bryan suggested clarifying with an introduction slide that this kind of survey was administered for the first time by EUAG, and that all respondents are service providers with membership in EUAG. There was general agreement that such clarifications would help contextualize the interpretation of the results. Steven will work with Brandon on an intro slide that includes these caveats/clarifications.
 - Slide 2: Don commented that service providers do recognize the value of OPNFV to the industry, but, due to the limitation of their own in-house expertise, it's premature for them to build their own platforms or evaluate the value of OPNFV to their own companies. He noted that the inexperience may have resulted in some of the directional responses.
 - Slide 3: Brandon clarified that the bullet points are common themes that emerged from the responses to the open-ended survey questions and an addition was made to the slide to make this more clear.
 - Slides 5-9: Brandon noted that these slides are the Key Q&As, and that it will be beneficial to present them along with the summary.

- Don asked if a summary slide is needed that indicates what can be learned at this snapshot-in-time, and, building upon the first survey, what should be included in the follow-up EUAG survey. A discussion followed regarding the timeline, structure, and content of the next survey. Don suggested deep-dive case studies with individual respondents across the maturity spectrum of the OPNFV usage. Steven suggested re-focusing some questions on the pain points and epic statements to get a better sense of the group's consensus on prioritization. The OPNFV Summit in June was noted as a good timeframe to aim for to present the results of a follow-up survey.
- The revised survey summary slides, including an additional intro slide, will be sent via the mailing list for members to provide feedback. Steven will present the finalized slides to the TSC meeting on February 21 and later to the Board.
- Release Community Priorities
 - The conversation about release community priorities was started by Morgan on the mailing list. Brandon noted that the Danube priorities were started too late to be considered by the D-Release. Therefore, in order to provide the framework to impact E-Release, end users' feedback, such as prioritization of pain points and development of full epic statements, is needed by March 27.
- EUAG Feedback to Technical Community
 - Gerald asked when the TSC will be able to advise on which pain points are the most feasible to be considered for E-Release. Michael noted that this may be dependent upon the OpenStack development schedule. He also pointed out the absence of a strategic roadmap that addresses the industry's needs. Steven suggested asking the TSC for feedback on both what's feasible by OPNFV alone as well as what's tied to the upstream community.
 - Ray noted that, even though these are early results, it's still helpful to the technical community to get an initial set of feedback from the end users on what they should focus on in terms of scenarios, projects, and etc.
 - Michael asked if there will be any documentation that tracks the progress of the feedback. Steven agreed that there should be some kind of long-term metrics to track how the pain points are being fulfilled in features, projects, and releases.
 - Brandon noted that getting the feedback to the TSC soon would allow sufficient time to develop pain points of priority into epic statements by 3/27.
- Meeting schedule
 - The remaining 1H 2017 meeting schedule was reviewed.
 - There was a proposal to have an EUAG meetup at Plugfest in Paris in April. An OPNFV overview can be provided to EUAG participants, and those interested to do so can also participate in the plugfest/hackfest activities.

--

Min Yu
Senior Client Services Coordinator
The Linux Foundation
[+1\(530\) 902-6464](tel:+15309026464) (m)
myu@linuxfoundation.org
Skype: minyudecorah