THE LINUX FOUNDATION

Min Yu <myu@linuxfoundation.org>

Minutes and Slides from the OPNFV End User Advisory call (April 5, 2017)

1 message

Min Yu <myu@linuxfoundation.org>　　　　　　　　　　　　Wed, Apr 12, 2017 at 1:28 PM
To: opnfv-enduser-advisory-group@lists.opnfv.org

Attendees: Guy Meador, Brian Smith, Randy Leavensalor, Bin Hu (Guest from AT&T), Bryan Sullivan, Tetsuya Nakamura, Herbert Damker, Gerald Kunzmann, Herbert Damker, Don Clarke, Michael Bugenhagen, Tapio Tallgren (TSC Chair), David McBride, Brandon Wick, Ray Paik, Heather Kirksey

Agenda:

- Antitrust
- Minutes Approval
- EUAG Roster
- Danube Update
- Euphrates Pain Points
- TSC & EUAG Communication Loop
- Future Release Cycle Planning
- EUAG Survey Pt. 2
- Project Health Metrics
- Meeting Calendar
- OPNFV Slide (Bryan)
- AOB

Minutes

- Minutes approved.
- Toby Ford removed from EUAG roster, corrections being made to EUAG Roster Slide to fix numbering.
- Danube technical launch on March 31 with marketing announcement on April 4.
- Discussion that EUAG feedback is a guidance to the technical community and not a mandate. TSC does not have direct control over the community resource. Bryan added that the Armada project addresses the "Resiliency at Scale" pain point as is being designed for the E-Release.
- Consensus that it'd be ideal to start providing F-release feedback to the technical community in the July/August timeframe.
- On feedback on the number of installers, there was a discussion that detailed reasons should be articulated as to why this is a challenge (e.g. interoperability/compatibility).
- Michael Bugenhagen discussed pain points around APIs and will be adding this to the wiki.
- Mapping of pain points EPIC Statements with projects: There was a good discussion on experimenting with different formats in the wiki to map the EPIC statements derived from pain points narrative with OPNFV projects. A scenario description form entry for identifying the EPIC statements addressed by that scenario could be useful.
- Release scheduling: Tapio noted that ODL plans to move towards 6-month release cycle (close to OpenStack). There was an open questions as to how to best address backwards compatibility.
- Survey #2: There was a discussion that the survey questions should be phrased in a way to be more actionable. For example, we want to see more actionable answers when asking to rank projects and the question on "how do you use OPNFV" needs to be re-phrased. It may also make sense to have follow-up interviews after the survey to better understand the context. It may also be beneficial to poll vendors on their OPNFV plans (e.g. for future products), future needs, how important is it to integrate a stable release. We'll continue to rev on the survey over email and member input is welcome.
- Ray gave an overview of project health metrics and how they are used in OPNFV. Note: There are various reasons for low metric #s and are not necessarily concerning.
- Bryan showed a new slide he developed to help explain how they use OPNFV. This has been added to the presentation deck and OPNFV wiki. Community feedback on this new, draft slide is welcome. There was a discussion about application developers and which platform they should build to and which types of work will be done in ONAP vs OPNFV.

- 2017 Meeting Calendar: The proposed calendar for ROY was shown. Morgan has book space during the OPNFV plugfest for a F2F EUAG meeting. Group consensus was to allow non-EUAG members to join this meeting. Conference bridge info TBD. The May 3rd EUAG meeting is still TBD. The following F2F meeting with be at OpenStack Summit in Sydney.
- Steven closed the call.

--
Min Yu
Senior Operations Analyst
The Linux Foundation
+1(530) 902-6464 (m)
myu@linuxfoundation.org
Skype: minyudecorah

EUAG Meeting 040517.pptx
2630K