

End User Advisory Group Call, December 7, 2017

Attendees

On-site: Randy Levensalor (CableLabs), Cedric Ollivier (Orange), Al Morten (AT&T), Stephen Pisarski (CableLabs), Ray Paik (Linux Foundation), David McBride (Linux Foundation), Anand Gorti (Lenovo), Elise Eiden (AT&T), Ryota Mibu (NEC), Dave Urschatz (CENGN), Fu Qiao (China Mobile), Tim Irnich (Ericsson).

On Phone: Steven Wright (AT&T), Bertrand Souville (Docomo), Bryan Sullivan (AT&T), Don Clarke (CableLabs), Eric Van Vliet (Advantech), Heather Kirksey (Linux Foundation), Rafael Lopez Da Silva (Telefonica).

Agenda

- Anti-Trust Compliance Notice
- VCO Demo Update
- EUAG in LF Networking
- Pain Points Discussion
- Open Discussion: Working with the EUAG
- 2018 Meeting Format
- AOB

VCO Demo Update

Brandon gave an update. The VCO Demo 2.0 will be built upon the success of the first demo and expand in key areas of mobile services: vRAN both LTE and 5G + vEPC and IMS (VoLTE). Labs are being built out at Cumulus and Penguin Computing in the Bay Area. The goal is to showcase the demo at 1H2018 shows: OCP Summit, ONS, OpenStack, etc. China Mobile is involved and more service providers are encouraged to participate. More information can be found here: <https://wiki.opnfv.org/display/OSDD/VCO+Demo+2.0+Home>.

EUAG in LF Networking

Heather provided an update. LF Networking is a new entity under the LF with one streamlined membership. Initial projects will include OPNFV, ONAP, ODL, FD.io and perhaps a couple others. The entity will include a Technical Advisory Council, Marketing Advisory Council, and other working groups. Each LF networking project will continue to exist, the TSCs remain intact, brands are kept as is. The day to day work will not change much, but increased efficiency and alignment should be the result. For technical participation, the same contribution processes apply. The EUAG will continue as is to start 2018, then perhaps the group can perhaps consider working with a broader set of projects. ODL currently has an EUAG, ONAP currently do not.

Bryan Sullivan proposed a cross-project set of topics and stressed that having a dialog is crucial with these other open source projects. Dave Urschatz expressed support for cross-project collaboration. If the EUAG wants to broaden our remit to bring in folks from ONAP, we can. This group exists to be useful to you as service providers so your input and direction is welcome.

Randy said this could perhaps help covering more of the pain points, but wanted to bear in mind OPNFV's status as an open project with many approaches. Fu Qiao expressed a concern about trying to do too much (getting too spread out). Members are advised to send any thoughts/questions to Steven/Randy/Heather.

Pain Points Discussion / Working with EUAG

Randy provided an update. He's currently working to re-group, and sub-categorize the EUAG pain points page seen here: <https://wiki.opnfv.org/display/EUAG/Pain+Points>. Randy went through the major current categories. The goal is to start mapping them to user stories. The process goal is: Pain Points => User Stories => Jira Tickets => OPNFV Projects. Bryan Sullivan suggested PTLs come to the Pain Points meetings, and volunteered to do that with his projects. Steven suggested it might be helpful to pick one pain point, and discuss how it applies to the relevant projects and Ryota Mibu pointed out the need for a use case. Al Morten suggested integrating ETSI plugtests as they have plenty of VNFs to test; possibly interfacing with that group to align the work. Don commented there will be challenges but good to tap the energy coming from both sides. ONS NA + ONS Europe, OPNFV Plugfest in Sofia Antipolis might be venues.

Bryan also suggested challenging the technical community to come prepared to the EUAG with how their projects help. Al emphasized VNF, VIM, and MANO interoperability and working with ETSI to fill in any missing specs. Care should be taken to avoid temporal problems/solutions. Steven emphasized tracking user stories across releases, capturing progress, longer term tracking, both by OPNFV and other projects. This could be mapped out (including other projects) and captured on the wiki.

Tim Irnich emphasizes that the OPNFV Verified Program (formerly CVP) is about to launch and will be re-established under LF Networking. This was a huge accomplishment for the Dovetail team, but the technical community had limited capacity to do this work, so they had to pull back a bit on test scope. They want the second release to provide "significant additional value." They have a process, but not enough content and context. Going forward, they need detailed feedback on what is missing to make the test scope more comprehensive, e.g. what makes the program commercially viable and relevant (platform, backup, upgrade, scale in, scale out). Input is needed on criteria to make sure that a project behaves similarly to other projects and between interfaces, North and South. Tim asked the EUAG to take a detailed look at the current criteria (in the Governance Doc Addendums) and provide feedback on Dovetail priorities going forward, e.g. what does Dovetail need to do, to make sure the program can meet your RFQ?

Randy ended the call.